



Note

On self-duality of branchwidth in graphs of bounded genus[☆]Ignasi Sau^{a,*}, Dimitrios M. Thilikos^b^a AIGCo group, CNRS, LIRMM, Montpellier, France^b Department of Mathematics, National Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 31 May 2011

Accepted 27 June 2011

Available online 22 July 2011

Keywords:

Graphs on surfaces

Branchwidth

Duality

Polyhedral embedding

ABSTRACT

A graph parameter is *self-dual* in some class of graphs embeddable in some surface if its value does not change in the dual graph by more than a constant factor. Self-duality has been examined for several width parameters, such as branchwidth, pathwidth, and treewidth. In this paper, we give a direct proof of the self-duality of branchwidth in graphs embedded in some surface. In this direction, we prove that $\text{bw}(G^*) \leq 6 \cdot \text{bw}(G) + 2g - 4$ for any graph G embedded in a surface of Euler genus g .

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Preliminaries

Our main reference for graphs on surfaces is the monograph by Mohar and Thomassen [10]. A *surface* is a connected compact 2-manifold without boundaries. A surface Σ can be obtained, up to homeomorphism, by adding $\text{eg}(\Sigma)$ *crosscaps* to the sphere, and $\text{eg}(\Sigma)$ is called the *Euler genus* of Σ . We denote by (G, Σ) a graph G embedded in a surface Σ , that is, drawn in Σ without edge crossings. A subset of Σ meeting the drawing only at vertices of G is called *G-normal*. An *O-arc* on Σ is a subset that is homeomorphic to a cycle. If an *O-arc* is *G-normal*, then we call it a *noose*. A noose N is *contractible* if it is the boundary of some disc on Σ and is *surface separating* if $\Sigma \setminus N$ is disconnected. The *length* of a noose is the number of vertices it meets. *Representativity*, or *face width*, is a parameter that quantifies local planarity and density of embeddings. The representativity $\text{rep}(G, \Sigma)$ of a graph embedding (G, Σ) is the smallest length of a non-contractible noose in Σ . We call an embedding (G, Σ) *polyhedral* if G is 3-connected and $\text{rep}(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$.

For a given embedding (G, Σ) , we denote by (G^*, Σ) its dual embedding. Thus G^* is the geometric dual of G . Each vertex v (resp. face r) in (G, Σ) corresponds to some face v^* (resp. vertex r^*) in (G^*, Σ) . Also, given a set $X \subseteq E(G)$, we denote as X^* the set of the duals of the edges in X .

Let \mathcal{G} be a class of graphs embeddable in a surface Σ . We say that a graph parameter \mathbf{p} is (c, d) -*self-dual* on \mathcal{G} if for every graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and for its geometric dual G^* , $\mathbf{p}(G^*) \leq c \cdot \mathbf{p}(G) + d$. Self-duality of treewidth, pathwidth, or branchwidth (defined in Section 2) has played a fundamental role in the proof of the celebrated graph minors theorem [11], as well as being useful for finding polynomial-time approximation algorithms for these parameters [2].

Most of the research concerning self-duality of graph parameters has been devoted to treewidth. Lapoire proved [7], using algebraic methods, that treewidth is $(1, 1)$ -self-dual in planar graphs, settling a conjecture stated by Robertson and Seymour [12]. Bouchitté et al. [3] gave a much shorter proof of this result, exploiting the properties of minimal separators in planar graphs.

[☆] This work has been supported by the ANR AGAPE and ANR GRATOS projects, and by the Project “Kapodistrias” (ΑΠ 02839/28.07.2008) of the National and Kapodistrian University of Athens (project code: 70/4/8757).

* Corresponding author. Fax: +33 4 67 41 85 00.

E-mail addresses: ignasi.sau@lirmm.fr, ignasi.sau@gmail.com (I. Sau), sedthilk@math.uoa.gr (D.M. Thilikos).

Fomin and Thilikos [4] proved that pathwidth is $(6, 6g - 2)$ -self-dual in graphs polyhedrically embedded in surfaces of Euler genus at most g . This result was improved for planar graphs by Amini et al. [1], who proved that pathwidth is $(3, 2)$ -self-dual in 3-connected planar graphs and $(2, 1)$ -self-dual in planar graphs with a Hamiltonian path.

Concerning branchwidth, Seymour and Thomas [14] proved that it is $(1, 0)$ -self-dual in planar graphs that are not forests (for more direct proofs, see also [9] and [6]). In this note, we give a short proof that branchwidth is $(6, 2g - 4)$ -self-dual in graphs of Euler genus at most g . We also believe that our result can be considerably improved. In particular, we conjecture that branchwidth is $(1, g)$ -self-dual.

2. Self-duality of branchwidth

Given a graph G and a set $X \subseteq E(G)$, we define $\partial X = (\bigcup_{e \in X} e) \cap (\bigcup_{e \in E(G) \setminus X} e)$, where edges are naturally taken as pairs of vertices (notice that $\partial X = \partial(E(G) \setminus X)$). A *branch decomposition* (T, μ) of a graph G consists of an unrooted ternary tree T (i.e., all internal vertices are of degree three) and a bijection $\mu : L \rightarrow E(G)$ from the set L of leaves of T to the edge set of G . For every edge $f = \{t_1, t_2\}$ of T we define the *middle set* $\mathbf{mid}(e) \subseteq V(G)$ as follows: Let L_1 be the leaves of the connected component of $T \setminus \{e\}$ that contain t_1 . Then $\mathbf{mid}(e) = \partial \mu(L_1)$. The *width* of (T, μ) is defined as $\max\{|\mathbf{mid}(e)| : e \in T\}$. An optimal branch decomposition of G is defined by a tree T and a bijection μ which give the minimum width, called the *branchwidth* of G , and denoted by $\mathbf{bw}(G)$.

If (G, Σ) is a polyhedral embedding, then the following proposition follows by an easy modification of the proof of [4, Theorem 1].

Proposition 1. *Let (G, Σ) and (G^*, Σ) be dual polyhedral embeddings in a surface of Euler genus g . Then $\mathbf{bw}(G^*) \leq 6 \cdot \mathbf{bw}(G) + 2g - 4$.*

In the sequel, we focus on generalizing Proposition 1 to arbitrary embeddings. For this, we first need some technical lemmata, whose proofs are easy or well known, and omitted in this short note. Note that the removal of a vertex in G corresponds to the contraction of a face in G^* , and vice versa (the contraction of a face is the contraction of all the edges incident to it to a single vertex).

Lemma 1. *Branchwidth is closed under taking of minors, i.e., the branchwidth of a graph is no less than the branchwidth of any of its minors.*

Lemma 2. *The removal of a vertex or the contraction of a face from an embedded graph decreases its branchwidth by at most 1.*

Lemma 3 (Fomin and Thilikos [5]). *Let G_1 and G_2 be graphs with one edge or one vertex in common. Then $\mathbf{bw}(G_1 \cup G_2) \leq \max\{\mathbf{bw}(G_1), \mathbf{bw}(G_2), 2\}$.*

We need a technical definition before stating our main result. Suppose that G_1 and G_2 are graphs with disjoint vertex sets and $k \geq 0$ is an integer. For $i = 1, 2$, let $W_i \subseteq V(G_i)$ form a clique of size k and let G'_i ($i = 1, 2$) be obtained from G_i by deleting some (possibly none) of the edges from $G_i[W_i]$ with both endpoints in W_i . Consider a bijection $h : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$. We define a *clique sum* $G_1 \oplus G_2$ of G_1 and G_2 to be the graph obtained from the union of G'_1 and G'_2 by identifying w with $h(w)$ for all $w \in W_1$.

Theorem 1. *Let (G, Σ) be an embedding with $g = \mathbf{eg}(\Sigma)$. Then $\mathbf{bw}(G^*) \leq 6 \cdot \mathbf{bw}(G) + 2g - 4$.*

Proof. The proof uses the following procedure that applies a series of cutting operations to decompose G into polyhedral pieces plus a set of vertices whose size is linearly bounded by $\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma)$. The input is the graph G and its dual G^* embedded in Σ .

1. Set $\mathcal{B} = \{G\}$, and $\mathcal{B}^* = \{G^*\}$ (we call the members of \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}^* *blocks*).
2. If (G, Σ) has a minimal separator S with $|S| \leq 2$, let C_1, \dots, C_ρ be the connected components of $G[V(G) \setminus S]$ and, for $i = 1, \dots, \rho$, let G_i be the graph obtained by $G[V(C_i) \cup S]$ by adding an edge with both endpoints in S in the case where $|S| = 2$ and such an edge does not already exist (we refer to this operation as *cutting* G along the separator S). Notice that a separator S of G with $|S| = 1$ corresponds to a separator S^* of G^* with $|S^*| = 1$, given by the vertex of G^* corresponding to the external face of G . Also, to a separator S of G with $|S| = 2$ we can associate a separator S^* of G^* with $|S^*| = 2$, given by the vertex of G^* corresponding to the external face of G and a vertex of G^* corresponding to a face of G containing both vertices in S . Let G_i^* , $i = 1, \dots, \rho$ be the graphs obtained by cutting G^* along the corresponding separator S^* . We say that each G_i (resp G_i^*) is a *block* of G (resp. G^*) and notice that each G and G^* is the clique sum of its blocks. Therefore, from Lemma 3,

$$\mathbf{bw}(G^*) \leq \max\{2, \max\{\mathbf{bw}(G_i^*) \mid i = 1, \dots, \rho\}\}. \quad (1)$$

Observe now that for each $i = 1, \dots, \rho$, G_i and G_i^* are embedded in a surface Σ_i such that G_i is the dual of G_i^* and $\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma) = \sum_{i=1, \dots, \rho} \mathbf{eg}(\Sigma_i)$. Notice also that

$$\mathbf{bw}(G_i) \leq \mathbf{bw}(G), \quad i = 1, \dots, \rho, \quad (2)$$

as the possible edge addition does not increase the branchwidth, since each block of G is a minor of G and Lemma 1 applies. We set $\mathcal{B} \leftarrow \mathcal{B} \setminus \{G\} \cup \{G_1, \dots, G_\rho\}$ and $\mathcal{B}^* \leftarrow \mathcal{B}^* \setminus \{G^*\} \cup \{G_1^*, \dots, G_\rho^*\}$.

3. If (G, Σ) has a non-contractible and non-surface-separating noose meeting a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| \leq 2$, let $G' = G[V(G) \setminus S]$ and let F be the set of faces in G^* corresponding to the vertices in S . Observe that the obtained graph G' has an embedding to some surface Σ' of Euler genus *strictly* smaller than Σ that, in turn, has some dual G'^* in Σ' . Therefore $\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma') < \mathbf{eg}(\Sigma)$. Moreover, G'^* is the result of the contraction in G^* of the $|S|$ faces in F . From Lemma 2,

$$\mathbf{bw}(G^*) \leq \mathbf{bw}(G'^*) + |S|. \quad (3)$$

Set $\mathcal{B} \leftarrow \mathcal{B} \setminus \{G\} \cup \{G'\}$ and $\mathcal{B}^* \leftarrow \mathcal{B}^* \setminus \{G^*\} \cup \{G'^*\}$.

4. As long as this is possible, apply (recursively) Steps 2–4 for each block $G \in \mathcal{B}$ and its dual.

We now claim that before each recursive call of Steps 2 and 3, it holds that $\mathbf{bw}(G^*) \leq 6 \cdot \mathbf{bw}(G) + 2\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma) - 4$. The proof uses descending induction on the distance from the root of the recursion tree of the above procedure. Notice that all embeddings of graphs in the collections \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}^* constructed by the above algorithm are polyhedral (except from the trivial cases that they have size at most 3). Then the theorem follows directly from Proposition 1.

Suppose that G (resp. G^*) is the clique sum of its blocks G_1, \dots, G_ρ (resp. G_1^*, \dots, G_ρ^*) embedded in the surfaces $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_\rho$ (Step 2). By induction, we have that $\mathbf{bw}(G_i^*) \leq 6 \cdot \mathbf{bw}(G_i) + 2\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma_i) - 4$, $i = 1, \dots, \rho$ and the claim follows from Relations (1) and (2) and the fact that $\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma) = \sum_{i=1, \dots, \rho} \mathbf{eg}(\Sigma_i)$.

Suppose now (Step 3) that G (resp. G^*) occurs from some graph G' (resp. G'^*) embedded in a surface Σ' where $\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma') < \mathbf{eg}(\Sigma)$ after adding the vertices in S (resp. S^*). From the induction hypothesis, $\mathbf{bw}(G'^*) \leq 6 \cdot \mathbf{bw}(G') + 2\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma') - 4 \leq 6 \cdot \mathbf{bw}(G') + 2\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma) - 2 - 4$ and the claim follows directly from Relation (3) as $|S| \leq 2$ and $\mathbf{bw}(G') \leq \mathbf{bw}(G)$. \square

3. Recent results and a conjecture

Recently, Mazoit [8] proved that treewidth is a $(1, g + 1)$ -self-dual parameter in graphs embeddable in surfaces of Euler genus g , using completely different techniques. Since the branchwidth and the treewidth of a graph G , with $|E(G)| \geq 3$, satisfy $\mathbf{bw}(G) \leq \mathbf{tw}(G) + 1 \leq \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{bw}(G)$ [13], this implies that $\mathbf{bw}(G^*) \leq \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{bw}(G) + g + 2$, improving the constants of Theorem 1. We believe that an even tighter self-duality relation holds for branchwidth and hope that the approach of this paper will be helpful to settle the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. *If G is a graph embedded in some surface Σ , then $\mathbf{bw}(G^*) \leq \mathbf{bw}(G) + \mathbf{eg}(\Sigma)$.*

References

- [1] O. Amini, F. Huc, S. Pérennes, On the Pathwidth of Planar Graphs, *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* 23 (3) (2009) 1311–1316.
- [2] H.L. Bodlaender, F.V. Fomin, Approximation of path-width of outerplanar graphs, *Journal of Algorithms* 43 (2) (2002) 190–200.
- [3] V. Bouchitté, F. Mazoit, I. Todinca, Chordal embeddings of planar graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 273 (1–3) (2003) 85–102.
- [4] F.V. Fomin, D.M. Thilikos, On self duality of pathwidth in polyhedral graph embeddings, *Journal of Graph Theory* 55 (1) (2007) 42–54.
- [5] F.V. Fomin, D.M. Thilikos, Dominating sets in planar graphs: branch-width and exponential speed-up, *SIAM Journal on Computing* 36 (2) (2006) 281–309.
- [6] I.V. Hicks, N.B. McMurray Jr., The branchwidth of graphs and their cycle matroids, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 97 (5) (2007) 681–692.
- [7] D. Lapoire, Treewidth and duality for planar hypergraphs. http://www.labri.fr/perso/lapoire/papers/dual_planar_treewidth.ps, 1996 (manuscript).
- [8] F. Mazoit, Tree-width of graphs and surface duality, in: *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, in: *Proceedings of DIMAP workshop on Algorithmic Graph Theory (AGT)*, vol. 32, Warwick, U.K., 2009, pp. 93–97.
- [9] F. Mazoit, S. Thomassé, Branchwidth of graphic matroids, in: *Surveys in combinatorics 2007*, in: *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, vol. 346, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007, pp. 275–286.
- [10] B. Mohar, C. Thomassen, *Graphs on surfaces*, John Hopkins University Press, 2001.
- [11] N. Robertson, P.D. Seymour, Graph minors. XX. Wagner's conjecture, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 92 (2) (2004) 325–357.
- [12] N. Robertson, P. Seymour, Graph minors. III. planar tree-width, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 36 (1) (1984) 49–64.
- [13] N. Robertson, P. Seymour, Graph minors. X. obstructions to tree-decomposition, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 52 (2) (1991) 153–190.
- [14] P. Seymour, R. Thomas, Call routing and the ratcatcher, *Combinatorica* 14 (2) (1994) 217–241.